

# Feedback & Evaluation Rubric – Attack/Defend/Reflect Posts

	Unacceptable [0]	Marginal [1]	Good [2]	Excellent [3]
<i>How well has the post's project &amp; goal been presented? (Topic &amp; thesis)</i>	The main focus of the post is too vaguely described  The focus apparently changes throughout the post	The post's focus is explicitly described, but broadly and/or not well distinguished from related projects or issues	The post's goal is clearly stated, with some awareness of context or related issues	The post's focus is specifically described, placed in context and distinguished from related issues
<i>How relevant is your post to the target? (Engaging the target-post)</i>	Only very superficially connected to the target post	Discusses the same general topic as the target, but is otherwise not related  Addresses a minor assumption in the target post	Directly addresses the main claim of the target post	Explicitly addresses a specific key assumption or claim in the target post as the central issue  Shows how an apparently minor claim in the target post is a key one
<i>How well did you show &amp; share your understanding of the concept? (Philosophical understanding)</i>	Closely follows resource's presentation of the concept, and doesn't use the issue to help illustrate key aspects  Makes a fundamental mistake in communicating the concept that sabotages the argument of the post	Neglects a key aspect of the concept when applying it to the issue  Concept is presented fairly well, but is used only superficially in the argument of the post	Applies the concept clearly to the issue with only a few minor simplifications or inaccuracies	Uses the issue to display the key aspects of the concept clearly and accurately  Understandable to a reader who hasn't read the resource, without oversimplifying
<i>How well did you explore intuitions about knowledge? (Advancing the dialogue)</i>	No reflection on your (or the OP's) intuitions is apparent in the post	Contradictory or confusing statements about your thinking makes it difficult to see how this post affected your views  Only broad or superficial comments about the effect of this concept on your (or the OP's) intuitions	Some thoughtful interaction with your (or the OP's) intuitions, but inconclusive or underdeveloped	Clearly reflected on and evaluated the concept's impact on your intuitions about knowledge  Gave the OP some key issues or questions to think about in exploring their intuitions about knowledge

*Degree of Difficulty<sup>†</sup>*

Very superficial, broad or simple

0.5



1.5

Very sophisticated, subtle and advanced

Was the post effectively woven into the wiki with sufficient key concepts?

- Yes[1]/No[0]

Was the reference source for this post correctly linked-to?

- Yes[1]/No[0]

Was this the first response to a K-Claim post or Truth paper?

- Yes[1]/No[0]

For a score between 0-21 points. 'Do-overs' allowed.

I'm using the term "the concept" to point to the key idea you're using from your resource. "OP" means the original poster – the author of the post you're responding to. "The issue" means the central topic of your post (which is not the same as the concept you're using to discuss it!)

<sup>†</sup> See the wiki's "Degrees of Difficulty explained" page for more details.